



## **MODAPTO [101091996]: Modular Manufacturing and Distributed Control via Interoperable Digital Twins**



### **5.6.2 Hybrid Approaches**

2025-08-11



Hybrid optimization approaches combine the strengths of both exact methods and metaheuristics to improve scheduling performance. They take advantage of the precision and strong convergence of exact methods and the scalability and flexibility of metaheuristics. As a result, hybrid methods often outperform using either approach alone. The fundamental principle underlying hybrid approaches is the strategic decomposition of scheduling problems into components that can be solved most effectively by different methods.

A common hybrid strategy is embedding exact optimization inside a metaheuristic framework. For example, a genetic algorithm might use an integer programming solver to solve small subproblems, while the overall solution continues to evolve. Alternatively, metaheuristics can generate good initial solutions that exact methods then refine.

Hybrid methods have proven especially effective in multi-objective scheduling problems, where trade-offs – such as minimizing makespan while maximizing resource use – must be carefully balanced. Because they combine quality and flexibility, hybrid approaches are increasingly used in production environments that demand both high performance and adaptability.

## References

1. Boschetti, M. A., Maniezzo, V., Roffilli, M., & Bolufé Röhler, A. (2009). Matheuristics: Optimization, simulation and control. In *Hybrid metaheuristics* (pp. 171-177). Springer.
2. Archetti, C., & Speranza, M. G. (2014). A survey on matheuristics for routing problems. *EURO Journal on Computational Optimization*, 2(4), 223-246.
3. Della Croce, F., & Salassa, F. (2014). A variable neighborhood search based matheuristic for nurse rostering problems. *Annals of Operations Research*, 218(1), 185-199.
4. Pisinger, D., & Ropke, S. (2010). Large neighborhood search. In *Handbook of metaheuristics* (pp. 399-419). Springer.
5. Ball, M. O. (2011). Heuristics based on mathematical programming. *Surveys in Operations Research and Management Science*, 16(1), 21-38.
6. Hooker, J. N. (2005). A hybrid method for the planning and scheduling. *Constraints*, 10(4), 385-401.