

## MODAPTO [101091996]: Modular Manufacturing and Distributed Control via Interoperable Digital Twins



### 2.2.1. Evaluating various sequences

2025-08-11



Evaluating various sequences in logistics operations requires a structured approach that combines clear performance metrics, appropriate evaluation methodologies, and systematic analysis. This systematic evaluation enables organizations to identify optimal movement patterns, handling procedures, and operational sequences that minimize costs while maximizing throughput and efficiency. This topic explores the comprehensive process of evaluating logistics sequences, with particular emphasis on modular manufacturing environments and the MODAPTO framework.

## Establishing Evaluation Criteria

Effective sequence evaluation begins with defining clear, relevant criteria that align with organizational objectives:

1. **Time-Based Metrics:**
  - Total execution time from start to completion
  - Cycle time for recurring operations
  - Wait time and idle time for resources
  - Response time to changing conditions
  - Transition time between different sequences
2. **Distance and Movement Metrics:**
  - Total travel distance for material handling equipment
  - Number of movements or transfers
  - Repositioning distance between operations
  - Backtracking and crossover quantification
  - Vertical movement (lifting) requirements
3. **Resource Utilization Metrics:**
  - Equipment utilization rates
  - Human operator loading
  - Energy consumption for movement
  - Buffer and storage space utilization
  - Peak resource demand periods
4. **Quality and Reliability Metrics:**
  - Error rates and mistake potential
  - Collision or conflict probabilities
  - Sequence robustness to disruptions
  - Consistency of performance across runs
  - Sensitivity to timing variations
5. **Implementation Considerations:**
  - Complexity of control logic
  - Ease of implementation and modification
  - Training requirements for operators
  - Compatibility with existing systems
  - Scalability to different volumes

By establishing a comprehensive set of evaluation criteria, organizations create a foundation for meaningful comparison between different sequence options. These criteria should be



weighted according to organizational priorities, recognizing that different contexts may prioritize different aspects of performance.

## Sequence Representation Methods

Before evaluation can occur, logistics sequences must be represented in a structured format that supports analysis:

1. **Process Flowcharts:**
  - Visual representation of sequence steps and decision points
  - Shows the flow of materials through the logistics system
  - Identifies parallel operations and convergence points
  - Highlights decision rules for material routing
2. **Precedence Diagrams:**
  - Depicts dependencies between operations
  - Shows which activities must precede others
  - Identifies critical path through the sequence
  - Highlights flexibility in operation ordering
3. **Gantt Charts:**
  - Time-based representation of sequence activities
  - Shows duration and timing of each operation
  - Identifies resource allocation over time
  - Highlights idle periods and potential conflicts
4. **Network Models:**
  - Represents locations as nodes and movements as arcs
  - Provides mathematical structure for sequence analysis
  - Supports optimization algorithms for sequence generation
  - Enables calculation of critical performance metrics
5. **Simulation Models:**
  - Dynamic representation of sequence execution
  - Captures time-dependent behavior and interactions
  - Incorporates stochastic elements and variability
  - Provides platform for virtual sequence testing
6. **Formal Models:**
  - Petri Nets for process flow and synchronization
  - State machines for system behavior representation
  - BPMN (Business Process Model and Notation) for standardized process representation
  - Mathematical programming formulations for optimization

These representation methods provide the structural foundation for sequence evaluation, enabling systematic analysis and comparison of different options.

## Evaluation Methodologies

Several methodologies can be employed to evaluate logistics sequences:

1. **Simulation-Based Evaluation:**

### 2.2.1. Evaluating various sequences



- Creates virtual representation of sequence execution
  - Runs multiple replications to account for variability
  - Collects comprehensive performance metrics
  - Provides visualization of sequence operation
  - Supports what-if analysis for parameter changes
2. **Mathematical Analysis:**
- Calculates theoretical performance based on formulas
  - Applies queuing theory for wait time estimation
  - Uses critical path analysis for timing evaluation
  - Employs optimization models for sequence improvement
  - Provides analytical sensitivity analysis
3. **Empirical Testing:**
- Implements sequence in physical environment
  - Collects actual performance data during operation
  - Identifies practical implementation challenges
  - Validates theoretical predictions with real results
  - Incorporates operator feedback and observations
4. **Comparative Benchmarking:**
- Compares candidate sequences against reference standards
  - Evaluates relative performance improvements
  - Identifies best practices from different approaches
  - Establishes performance targets and expectations
  - Provides context for evaluation results
5. **Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis:**
- Applies structured decision frameworks to evaluation results
  - Incorporates weighted criteria based on priorities
  - Aggregates diverse metrics into overall scores
  - Supports sensitivity analysis for weight adjustments
  - Facilitates stakeholder consensus building

In the MODAPTO framework, the Co-Simulation service provides a powerful platform for simulation-based evaluation of logistics sequences. This service enables the time and cost analysis of different sequences by simulating their execution and calculating relevant performance metrics.

### The MODAPTO Co-Simulation Approach

The MODAPTO Co-Simulation service supports sequence evaluation through several key capabilities:

1. **Process Representation:**
  - Accepts production schemas as Petri Nets or BPMN
  - Maps semi-formal notations to formal semantics for simulation
  - Supports detailed logic expression for complex constraints
  - Enables representation of reconfigurable production systems
2. **Simulation Execution:**
  - Performs multiple simulations following the defined logic
  - Calculates key metrics such as execution times and costs



- Handles stochastic elements and variability
- Provides detailed trace information for analysis
- 3. Results Analysis:**
  - Generates comprehensive simulation results in structured format
  - Calculates statistical measures across simulation runs
  - Identifies critical paths and bottlenecks
  - Supports visualization of simulation results
- 4. Verification Capabilities:**
  - Checks for deadlocks in the sequence logic
  - Identifies unboundedness (livelocks) in the process
  - Verifies reachability of specific states
  - Validates path existence between specified points

These capabilities enable organizations to thoroughly evaluate different logistics sequences and identify optimal approaches for their specific operational context.

### Case Study: Robotic Pick-and-Place Sequence Evaluation

A particularly relevant application of sequence evaluation is in robotic pick-and-place operations, which are common in modular manufacturing environments. These operations involve a robot moving between picking locations (such as gravity racks) and placing locations (such as kit holders), with the objective of minimizing total operation time while completing all required transfers.

The evaluation of pick-and-place sequences typically considers:

- 1. Travel Time:**
  - Horizontal movement between locations
  - Vertical movement for different height positions
  - Acceleration and deceleration profiles
  - Positioning precision requirements
- 2. Operation Time:**
  - Picking duration at source locations
  - Placing duration at destination locations
  - Gripper operation time (opening/closing)
  - Sensor verification and confirmation delays
- 3. Sequence Constraints:**
  - Each pick must be followed by a corresponding place
  - Some components may require specific handling order
  - Certain destinations may have precedence requirements
  - Robot must return to home position after completion
- 4. Layout Impact:**
  - Arrangement of picking locations (e.g., gravity rack configuration)
  - Positioning of placing locations (e.g., kit holder arrangement)
  - Accessibility of locations and potential obstructions
  - Robot mounting position and reach envelope

The evaluation process for pick-and-place sequences often involves:



1. **Baseline Definition:**
  - Establish current or default sequence as baseline
  - Measure performance metrics for baseline sequence
  - Identify improvement opportunities and constraints
  - Define evaluation criteria and objectives
2. **Alternative Generation:**
  - Apply optimization algorithms to generate candidate sequences
  - Consider different heuristic approaches (nearest neighbor, etc.)
  - Explore various rack configurations and layout options
  - Incorporate practical constraints and requirements
3. **Simulation Execution:**
  - Model the robotic system and environment
  - Implement sequence logic and control rules
  - Run simulations for each candidate sequence
  - Collect comprehensive performance data
4. **Comparative Analysis:**
  - Calculate key metrics for each sequence
  - Compare performance against baseline and alternatives
  - Identify trade-offs between different objectives
  - Assess robustness to variability and disruptions
5. **Implementation Recommendations:**
  - Select the most appropriate sequence based on evaluation
  - Document implementation requirements and procedures
  - Define monitoring approach for ongoing performance
  - Establish triggers for sequence re-evaluation

Through this structured evaluation process, organizations can identify optimal pick-and-place sequences that minimize operation time, maximize throughput, and support efficient production in their modular manufacturing environments.

## Sequence Evaluation Challenges and Solutions

Several challenges commonly arise in the evaluation of logistics sequences:

1. **Combinatorial Explosion:**
  - Challenge: The number of possible sequences grows factorially with the number of operations
  - Solution: Use optimization algorithms to identify promising candidates, then evaluate only the most promising options
2. **Stochastic Variability:**
  - Challenge: Real-world execution times and conditions vary, affecting sequence performance
  - Solution: Incorporate variability in simulation models and run multiple replications to assess robustness
3. **Multi-Objective Optimization:**
  - Challenge: Different evaluation criteria may conflict, with no single sequence optimizing all objectives



- Solution: Apply multi-criteria decision analysis and Pareto optimization to identify trade-offs and preferred solutions
- 4. **Dynamic Conditions:**
  - Challenge: Changing production requirements and system configurations affect optimal sequences
  - Solution: Develop adaptive sequencing approaches that can adjust to changing conditions
- 5. **Implementation Complexity:**
  - Challenge: Theoretically optimal sequences may be difficult to implement or maintain in practice
  - Solution: Include implementation factors in evaluation criteria and involve operational stakeholders in selection
- 6. **Validation and Verification:**
  - Challenge: Ensuring simulation models accurately represent real-world behavior
  - Solution: Validate models against empirical data and conduct sensitivity analysis for key parameters

By addressing these challenges through appropriate methodologies and tools, organizations can ensure that their sequence evaluations produce meaningful, actionable results that lead to tangible improvements in logistics operations.

## Integration with Modular Manufacturing

In modular manufacturing environments, sequence evaluation must account for the reconfigurable nature of the production system:

1. **Module-Specific Sequences:**
  - Evaluate optimal sequences within individual production modules
  - Consider interface requirements between modules
  - Optimize for module-level objectives and constraints
2. **Inter-Module Transfer Sequences:**
  - Evaluate material movement between different modules
  - Optimize handoff procedures and synchronization
  - Consider buffer requirements and capacity constraints
3. **Reconfiguration Impact:**
  - Assess how module rearrangements affect optimal sequences
  - Identify sequence adjustments needed after reconfiguration
  - Evaluate flexibility and adaptability of different sequencing approaches
4. **Distributed Control Integration:**
  - Consider how sequences are controlled across distributed systems
  - Evaluate coordination requirements and communication needs
  - Assess impact of control architecture on sequence performance

Virtual models development for sequence evaluation includes building process and product simulation models whose granularities are defined by the functionalities and modular structure identified in the initial design phase. This involves building 3D models, implementing relational rule models that establish interactions between product attributes and process twins services, control codes, and simulation flow. Tools like Siemens NX can be



used to build 3D CAD models, while platforms like Tecnomatix plant simulation (for agent-based/discrete event simulations) can construct DES models of the processes. These tools provide impressive visualization capabilities, OPC-UA protocol support, and can be used for analytics to achieve logistics process improvement, material flow optimization, and efficient resource usage.

By integrating sequence evaluation with the broader modular manufacturing approach, organizations can ensure that their logistics operations support the flexibility and reconfigurability that define these advanced production systems.

### Content References:

1. Tompkins, J. A., White, J. A., Bozer, Y. A., & Tanchoco, J. M. A. (2010). *Facilities Planning* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
2. Geismar, H. N., Dawande, M., & Sriskandarajah, C. (2011). Robotic cells with parallel machines: Throughput maximization in constant travel-time cells. *Journal of Scheduling*, 14(4), 315-338.
3. Law, A. M. (2014). *Simulation Modeling and Analysis* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.
4. European Commission. (2022). MODAPTO: Modular manufacturing and distributed control via interoperable digital twins. Project Reference: 101058435.
5. Groover, M. P. (2016). *Automation, Production Systems, and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing* (4th ed.). Pearson.
6. Crama, Y., & Van De Klundert, J. (1997). Cyclic scheduling of identical parts in a robotic cell. *Operations Research*, 45(6), 952-965.
7. Agrawal, G. K., & Heragu, S. S. (2006). A survey of automated material handling systems in 300-mm semiconductor fabs. *IEEE Transactions on Semiconductor Manufacturing*, 19(1), 112-120.
8. Boysen, N., Fliedner, M., & Scholl, A. (2009). Sequencing mixed-model assembly lines: Survey, classification and model critique. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 192(2), 349-373.